UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
ATTEICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· TROT WANTED INVENTOR		COM MAINTHON NO.
10/721,727	11/25/2003	Gary P. Raden	MS306094.01	5767
27195 7590 01/24/2008 AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			JEAN GILLES, JUDE	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketl@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/721,727	RADEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jude J. Jean-Gilles	2143		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 (October 2007.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement			
		•		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/		·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<u> </u>	m maioriby condox 25 H.C.C. S	2 440(=) (4) == (8)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 35 0.5.C. §	3 119(a)-(a) or (i).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
o. Copies of the serting copies of the pir	au (PCT Rule 17 2(a))			
application from the International Burea				
•		received.		
application from the International Burea		received.		
application from the International Burea		received.		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to RCE reply filed on 10/30/2007.

Response to RCE

1. Applicants' remarks submitted on 10/30/2007 have been considered and are deemed partially persuasive. New claim rejections are presented below for claims 1-36, and 38-41. Independent claim 37 has been amended and the same rejected presented in the Final Office action is reiterated for that claim. Claims 1-41 are pending and represent "SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR UNIFYING AND/OR UTILIZING STATE INFORMATION FOR MANAGING NETWORKED SYSTEMS".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-36 and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lau U.S Patent No. 6,101,500 in view of Britton, U.S. Patent No 7,289,862 B2.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 1, Lau teaches a system that facilitates networked system management, comprising:

a component that obtains aggregated system state data for at least one system component (fig. 2C; item 253; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47):

an analysis component that processes at least a portion of the aggregated system state data to determine at least one characteristic of at least one system state (column 11, lines 15-47); and

a user interface that provides state related information based upon the state characteristic to a user (fig. 4, item 40; column 13, lines 1-23); the user interface receives at least one user control parameter that facilitates improved utilization of the networked system (see fig. 2B, items 101, and 250; fig. 3; column 1, lines 66-67; column 2, lines 1-11; column 12, lines 42-49; note the role of the GUI in the network interface as it is used to create the network entity manually, thereby improving user utilization; the user can then create objects that help mange the network).

Although Lau discloses substantial features of the claimed invention, Lau does not distinctly teach " the at least one characteristic employed to automatically limit a user's utilization of at least one aspect of the networked system". Nonetheless this feature is well known and would have been an obvious modification to the system shown by Lau as evidenced by Britton.

10/721,727

Art Unit: 2143

In an analogous art, Britton shows a predictive, reactive and proactive networks system, that enables automatic monitoring of network devices. Britton teaches the capability to automatically set the process runtime limits, allowing an end user to control network variable setups and control network utilization (see Britton, column 5, lines 27-35).

Given this feature, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system shown by Lau to employ the features shown by Britton in order to facilitate and support process quality and maintenance during control in a network, thereby informing the user of an existing network problem, and determine whether action is required... as stated by Britton (column 4, lines 34-44). By this rationale, claim 1 is rejected.

- 2. The system of claim 1, the state related information comprising a current state status relating to at least one of system usage states, system performance states, or system health states (Lau; column 18, lines 40-50; column 11, lines 55-67).
- 3. The system of claim 2, the current state status relating to an individual end-user of the networked system (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9).
- 4. The system of claim 2, the current state status indicating top "X" asset utilization of a particular networked system asset, where X represents a desired number of top asset users (Lau; column 3, lines 10-29).

- 5. The system of claim 4, the desired number of top asset users comprising at least one of approximately 1, approximately 5, approximately 10, approximately 25, approximately 50, approximately 75, or approximately 100 (Lau; column 3, lines 10-29).
- 6. The system of claim 4, the particular networked system asset comprising at least one of memory usage, CPU utilization, hard disk space usage, random access memory (RAM) usage, and network communication bandwidth usage (Lau; column 18, lines 40-49; column 11, lines 16-47).
- 7. The system of claim 4, the top asset users comprising running processes (Lau; fig. 6 A-B; 7 A-B; column 10, lines 8-17).
- 8. The system of claim 4, the top asset users comprising end-users of the networked system (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9).
- 9. The system of claim 8, the particular networked system asset comprising Internet usage (Lau; fig. 1; column 8, lines 27-45; column 10, lines 17-29).
- 10. The system of claim 1, the state related information comprising, at least in part, administrative guidance information corresponding to the networked system (Lau; column 11, lines 16-46).

- 11. The system of claim 1, the state related information comprising an historical state status relating to at least one of system usage states, system performance states, or system health states (Lau; column 18, lines 40-50; column 11, lines 55-67).
- 12. The system of claim 11, the historical state status relating to an individual end-user of the networked system.
- 13. The system of claim 1, the system component comprising a server.
- 14. The system of claim 1, the user interface comprising at least one of a system usage user interface, a system performance user interface, or a system health user interface (Lau; column 18, lines 40-50; column 11, lines 55-67).
- 15. The system of claim 1, the user interface comprising a customizable user interface (Lau; fig. 1, fig. 4 and item 401).
- 16. The system of claim 1, the user interface comprising an interactive user interface (Lau; fig. 1, fig. 4 and item 401).
- 17. The system of claim 16, the interactive user interface comprising a prior state reversion control user interface (Lau; fig. 1, fig. 4 and item 401).

10/721,727

Art Unit: 2143

- 18. The system of claim 16, the interactive user interface comprising a control user interface that controls a utilization aspect of the networked system (Lau; fig. 1, fig. 4 and item 401).
- 19. The system of claim 18, the control user interface comprising a system prioritization user interface that prioritizes usage of the utilization aspect of the networked system (Lau; fig. 1, fig. 4 and item 401).
- 20. The system of claim 18, the utilization aspect of the networked system comprising at least one of Internet bandwidth usage, CPU usage, hard disk space usage, e-mail usage, fax usage, or printing usage (Lau; column 3, lines 10-29).
- 21. A method for facilitating management of a networked system, comprising: acquiring aggregated system state data for at least one system component; analyzing at least a portion of the aggregated system state data to determine at least one characteristic of at least one system state (Lau; fig. 2C; item 253; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47; fig. 1 and fig. 4); the at least one characteristic employed to automatically limit a user's utilization of at least one aspect of the networked system

providing state related information based upon the state characteristic to a user (see Britton, column 5, lines 27-35);and

enabling a user to manipulate assets of the networked system to facilitate improved utilization of the networked system (Lau; see fig. 2B, items 101, and 250; fig. 3; column 1, lines 66-67; column 2, lines 1-11; column 12, lines 42-49; note the role of the GUI in the network interface as it is used to create the network entity manually, thereby improving user utilization; the user can then create objects that help mange the network).

- 22. The method of claim 21, further comprising: employing the state related information to optimally manage productivity of end-users of the networked system (Lau; fig. 4, item 40; column 13, lines 1-23).
- 23. The method of claim 21, further comprising: utilizing the state related information to provide control of a related characteristic of the networked system (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47).
- 24. The method of claim 23, the related characteristic of the networked system comprising at least one of state reporting management, process thread management, Internet use management, data storage management, memory use management, processing power use management, and load management (Lau; figs. 1, 2, and 4; column 8, lines 27-64).
- 25. The method of claim 23, the control comprising at least one of automatic control

and manual control (Lau; figs. 1, 2, and 4).

- 26. The method of claim 21, the user comprising a computing device (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47).
- 27. The method of claim 21, further comprising: utilizing state related error data and the aggregated system state data to provide system update information to the user (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47).
- 28. The method of claim 27, further comprising: providing control to the user to initiate system updates provided in the system update information (Lau; fig. 5B).
- 29. The method of claim 28, providing control including, at least in part, selecting, via user input, to automatically update at least one parameter of the networked system (Lau; figs. 1, and 4).
- 30. The method of claim 21, further comprising: utilizing state related error data and the aggregated system state data to reduce state monitoring information (Lau; column 20, lines 5-14).
- 31. The method of claim 30, the state related error data comprising at least one selected from the group consisting of software defects and hardware defects (Lau;

Application/Control Number:

10/721,727 Art Unit: 2143

see abstract).

- 32. The method of claim 21, further comprising: receiving control parameters from a user to control state related parameters (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47).
- 33. The method of claim 21, further comprising: data mining the aggregated system state data to determine at least one of a diagnosis of at least one aspect of the networked system and a prognosis of at least one aspect of the networked system (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47).
- 34. The method of claim 21, further comprising: controlling, via a user interface, the networked system based, at least in part, upon the aggregated system state data (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47).
- 35. The method of claim 21, further comprising: providing system state related recommendations based, at least in part, upon the aggregated system state data (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47).
- 36. A system that facilitates networked system management, comprising:

means for obtaining aggregated system state data for at least one system component (see Lau; fig. 2C; item 253; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47);

means for processing at least a portion of the aggregated system state data to determine at least one characteristic of at least one system state (see Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9;)

the at least one characteristic employed to automatically limit a user's utilization of at least one aspect of the networked system (see Britton, column 5, lines 27-35);

providing state related information based upon the state characteristic to a user; means for predicting a common mode failure of at least one piece of hardware common to one of more systems (see Britton; column 7, lines 52-62) and

means for providing state related information based upon the state characteristic

to a user (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47); and means for enabling a user to manipulate assets of the networked system to facilitate improved utilization of the networked system_(Lau; see fig. 2B, items 101, and 250; fig. 3; column 1, lines 66-67; column 2, lines 1-11; column 12, lines 42-49; note the role of the GUI in the network interface as it is used to create the network entity

manually, thereby improving user utilization; the user can then create objects that help mange the network).

10/721,727

Art Unit: 2143

- 38. A system employing at least one system of claim 1 that provides a unified information source of at least one of performance monitoring data for a plurality of networked systems, usage monitoring data for a plurality of networked systems, and health monitoring data for a plurality of networked systems (Lau; column 18, lines 40-50; column 11, lines 55-67).
- 39. A computer readable medium having stored thereon computer executable components of the system of claim 1 (Lau; fig. 1).
- 40. A device employing the method of claim 21 comprising at least one of a computer, a server, and a handheld electronic device (Lau; fig. 1).
- 41. A device employing the system of claim 1 comprising at least one of a computer, a server, and a handheld electronic device (Lau; fig. 1, items 102, 103 B, d, and F).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lau U.S Patent No. 6,101,500.

Regarding claim 37, Lau discloses:

37. A data packet transmitted between two or more computer components that facilitates networked system monitoring, the data packet comprising, at least in part, information relating to monitoring of a networked system, the information including, at least in part, state related data based, at least in part, upon aggregated state data corresponding to at least one system component of the networked system (Lau; column 6, lines 58-67; column 7, lines 1-9; column 11, lines 15-47).

Conclusion

6. This **Action is made Non-Final**. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (571) 272-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-3201.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0800.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

JJ

January 09, 2008,

NATHAN FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER